arXiv:0812.1378v1 [math.DG] 7 Dec 2008

ON LEAFWISE CONFORMAL DIFFEOMORPHISMS

KAMIL NIEDZIAŁOMSKI

Abstract. For every diffeomorphism $\varphi : M \to N$ between 3–dimensional Riemannian manifolds M and N there are in general locally two 2–dimensional distributions $D_\pm$ such that φ is conformal on both of them. We state necessary and sufficient conditions for a distribution to be one of $D_\pm$. These are algebraic conditions expressed in terms of the self-adjoint and positive definite operator $(\varphi_*)^*\varphi_*$. We investigate integrability condition of D_+ and D_-. We also show that it is possible to choose coordinate systems in which leafwise conformal diffeomorphism is holomorphic on leaves.

1. Introduction

Let $\varphi : M \to N$ be a diffeomorphism between 3–dimensional Riemannian manifolds (M, g) and (N, h). Fix $x \in M$ and let $(\varphi_{*x})^* : T_{\varphi(x)}N \to T_xM$ denotes the operator adjoint to $\varphi_{*x} : T_xM \to T_{\varphi(x)}N$. Then $S_x = (\varphi_{*x})^*\varphi_{*x}$ is a self–adjoint and positive definite operator. Let $0 < \lambda_1(x) \leq \lambda_2(x) \leq \lambda_3(x)$ be the eigenvalues of S_x.

Preimage $E(x) = \varphi_{*x}^{-1}(\mathbb{S}^2)$ of the unit sphere is an ellipsoid with principial semi–axes $1/\sqrt{\lambda_i(x)}$, $i = 1, 2, 3$. Therefore, if the eigenvalues $\lambda_i(x), i = 1, 2, 3$, are distinct there are two 2–dimensional subspaces $D_+(x)$ and $D_-(x)$ of T_xM intersecting $E(x)$ along spheres. Thus locally we get two smooth distributions D_+ and D_-. By the definition of $D_\pm$ we see that φ is conformal on each of them (see Lemma 2.1).

In this article we describe D_+ and D_- and study the problem of integrability of these distributions. We show that integrability of one of the distributions $D_\pm$ does not imply integrability of the other one.

Conformality of diffeomorphisms on distributions of codimension one was studied by S. Tanno in [8] and [9]. However, majority of results in [8] and [9] is obtained under the assumption that a given diffeomorphism φ maps vectors normal to a distribution D to vectors normal to the image $\varphi_*(D)$. Therefore φ cannot have distinct eigenvalues. Moreover, in [5] the author showed that under some assumptions on a diffeomorphisms φ and the dimension of M there are no distributions of 'small' codimension on which φ is conformal. In particular, assuming $\dim M > 3$ there are no codimension one foliations such that a diffoemorphism $\varphi : M \to N$, for which S has distinct eigenvalues, is conformal on the leaves.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 53A30; 53C12; 53B20.

Key words and phrases. Conformal mapping, foliation, Riemannian manifold.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we obtain preliminary results concerning some operators defined for 1–forms. Next, we state necessary and sufficient conditions for a diffeomorphism between 3–dimensional Riemannian manifolds to be conformal on a given distribution, that is we obtain conditions for a distribution to be one of $D_\pm$ (Theorem 3.1). Examples are given. In the following sections we focus on the integrability condition of D_+ and D_- (Theorem 4.2, Propositions 5.1 and 5.2). The last part of this article is devoted to local description of leafwise conformal diffeomorphism. We show that it is possible to choose appropriate coordinate systems in which given leafwise conformal diffeomorphism is holomorphic on leaves (Theorem 6.1).

2. Notations and preliminary results

Let (M,g), (N,h) be 3–dimensional oriented and connected Riemannian manifolds and let $\varphi:(M,g)\to(N,h)$ be a diffeomorphism. We say that φ is *leafwise conformal* if there exists a 2–dimensional foliation $\mathcal{F}$ on M such that $\varphi: L\to\varphi(L)$ is conformal for every leaf $L\in\mathcal{F}$. In that case we also say that φ is *$\mathcal{F}$–conformal.* φ is *locally leafwise conformal* if every point $x\in M$ has a neighbourhood U such that $\varphi:U\to\varphi(U)$ is leafwise conformal.

Let $\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\lambda_3$ be the eigenvalues of the operator $S=(\varphi_*)^*\varphi_*:TM\to TM$ and ξ_1,ξ_2,ξ_3 be the corresponding unit eigenvectors. Assume $\lambda_1<\lambda_2<\lambda_3$. Let η_1,η_2,η_3 be the basis dual to ξ_1,ξ_2,ξ_3. Locally we may choose above bases to be smooth. Define

$$\omega_\pm=\frac{\sqrt{\lambda_2-\lambda_1}}{\sqrt{\lambda_3-\lambda_1}}\eta_1\pm\frac{\sqrt{\lambda_3-\lambda_2}}{\sqrt{\lambda_3-\lambda_1}}\eta_3. \tag{1}$$

Condsider the distributions $D_\pm=\ker\omega_\pm$. We have

Lemma 2.1. *A diffeomorphism φ is (locally) conformal on a 2–dimensional distribution D if and only if $D=D_+$ or $D=D_-$ (locally). Moreover the coefficient of conformality is λ_2.*

Proof. It is easy to check that φ is conformal on D_+ and D_- with coefficient of conformality λ_2. Suppose there exists a distribution D such that φ is conformal on D. Fix $x\in M$ and consider the set $E(x)=d\varphi^{-1}(x)(\mathbb{S}^2)$, where $\mathbb{S}^2\subset T_{\varphi(x)}N$ is the unit sphere. Then $E(x)$ is an ellipsoid with principial semi–axes $1/\sqrt{\lambda_i(x)}$, $i=1,2,3$. The subspaces $D_+(x)$ and $D_-(x)$ intersect $E(x)$ along circles and these are the only subspaces with this property, see [3] or [5]. Thus by conformality of φ on D we get that $D(x)=D_+(x)$ or $D(x)=D_-(x)$. Since M is connected, D is smooth and $D_+(x)\neq D_-(x)$ for all $x\in M$, we obtain $D=D_+$ or $D=D_-$ (locally). ◻

Let $x\in M$, $p=0,1,2,3$ and $*:\Lambda^pT^*_xM\to\Lambda^{3-p}T^*_xM$ be the Hodge operator. Let $\iota(\omega)\eta=\omega\wedge\eta$ for $\omega,\eta\in\Lambda^pT^*_xM$. For $\omega,\eta\in T^*_xM$ define $(\omega\odot\eta)_x:T^*_xM\to T^*_xM$ by

$$(\omega\odot\eta)_x\alpha=\langle\omega,\alpha\rangle\eta+\langle\eta,\alpha\rangle\omega,\quad \alpha\in T^*_xM,$$

where $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ is the inner product in T_x^*M induced from Riemannian metric g. Moreover for $\theta\in[0,2\pi)$ and $\omega\in T_x^*M$, $|\omega|=1$, put

$$\mathrm{Rot}_x(\theta,\omega)=\mathrm{Id}_{T_x^*M}+\sin\theta(*\iota(\omega))+(1-\cos\theta)(*\iota(\omega))^2 : T_x^*M\to T_x^*M.$$

Then $\mathrm{Rot}_x(\theta,\omega)$ is an operator of rotation around ω of an angle θ, for details see [1]. For simplicty we will write $\mathrm{Rot}_x(\omega)$ instead of $\mathrm{Rot}_x(\pi/2,\omega)$.

Lemma 2.2. *Let* $0\le\theta,\theta_1,\theta_2<2\pi$, $\omega,\eta\in T_x^*M$ *and* $|\omega|=1$. *The operator* $\mathrm{Rot}_x(\theta,\omega)$ *has the following properties*

1. $\mathrm{Rot}_x(\theta_1,\omega)\circ\mathrm{Rot}_x(\theta_2,\omega)=\mathrm{Rot}_x(\theta_1+\theta_2 \mod 2\pi,\omega)$.
2. *If* $\langle\omega,\eta\rangle=0$ *then* $\langle\omega,\mathrm{Rot}_x(\theta,\omega)\eta\rangle=0$.
3. *If* $\langle\omega,\eta\rangle=0$ *then* $\langle\mathrm{Rot}_x(\omega)\eta,\eta\rangle=0$ *and* $\eta-\mathrm{Rot}_x(\omega)\eta=\sqrt{2}\mathrm{Rot}_x(-\frac{\pi}{4},\omega)\eta$.

Proof. Easy computations left to the reader. □

The operator $S_x:T_xM\to T_xM$ can be considered as an operator $S_x:T_x^*M\to T_x^*M$ by the rule $(S_x\eta)X=\eta(SX)$, $X\in T_xM$. Then S is a self–adjoint and positive definite operator with eigenvalues λ_i and corresponding eigenvectors η_i, $i=1,2,3$. Let $[T_1,T_2]=T_1T_2-T_2T_1:T_x^*M\to T_x^*M$ be the comutator of operators $T_1,T_2:T_x^*M\to T_x^*M$. We define

$$B_x(\omega)=[S_x,*\iota(\omega)]:T_x^*M\to T_x^*M, \tag{2}$$

$$A_x(\omega)=[S_x,\mathrm{Rot}_x(\omega)]:T_x^*M\to T_x^*M. \tag{3}$$

We have a technical result

Lemma 2.3. *Let* $\omega\in T_x^*M$. *Then there exist* $\eta,\sigma\in T_x^*M$ *such that* ω,η,σ *are orthogonal and*

$$S_x\eta=\frac{1}{|\eta|^2}\eta+\langle S_x\omega,\eta\rangle\omega,\quad S_x\sigma=\frac{1}{|\sigma|^2}\sigma+\langle S_x\omega,\sigma\rangle\omega. \tag{4}$$

Proof. Let $\omega=\sum_i a_i\eta_i$. If $\omega=\eta_i$ for some $i=1,2,3$, then it sufficies to put $\eta=(1/\sqrt[3]{\lambda_j})\eta_j$ and $\sigma=(1/\sqrt[3]{\lambda_k})\eta_k$, where (i,j,k) is a permutation of the set $\{1,2,3\}$.

Suppose now $\omega\neq\eta_i$ for all $i=1,2,3$. Let $C>0$ be such that $\sum_i a_i^2/(\lambda_i-C)=0$ and put $\eta=\sum_i(a_i/(\lambda_i-C))\eta_i$. Then $\langle\omega,\eta\rangle=0$ and $S_x\eta=C\eta+\omega$. It sufficies to multiply η by $1/\sqrt{C}|\eta|$. Let $\sigma=\mathrm{Rot}_x(\omega)\eta$. By Lemma 2.2 ω,η,σ are orthogonal. Moreover, $\langle S_x\sigma,\eta\rangle=0$ and $\langle S_x\sigma,\sigma\rangle>0$, thus multiplying σ by an appropriate factor we get $S_x\sigma=\frac{1}{|\sigma|^2}\sigma+\langle S\omega,\sigma\rangle\omega$. □

3. CONFORMALITY ON DISTRIBUTION

Let (M,g), (N,h) be 3–dimensional oriented and connected Riemannian manifolds and let $\varphi:(M,g)\to(N,h)$ be a diffeomorphism. Consider notations from the previous section.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $D = \ker\omega$ be a 2–dimensional distribution on an open subset $U \subset M$, where ω is a unit 1–form on U. Assume the operator S has distinct eigenvalues $\lambda_1 < \lambda_2 < \lambda_3$ and the corresponding unit eigenvectors η_1, η_2, η_2 are smooth on U. Then the following conditions are equivalent*

1. *φ is conformal on D,*
2. *$B(\omega) = \mu(\omega \odot \eta_2)$ for some smooth and nowhere vanishing function μ on U,*
3. *$A(\omega)^3 = 0$.*

Moreover, if (2) holds then μ is equal to

$$\mu = \sqrt{\lambda_2 - \lambda_1}\sqrt{\lambda_3 - \lambda_2} \quad \text{or} \quad \mu = -\sqrt{\lambda_2 - \lambda_1}\sqrt{\lambda_3 - \lambda_2}. \tag{5}$$

Proof. (1) ⇒ (2) The 1–form ω is given by (1) with sign $+$ or $-$ in place of $\pm$. Therefore with respect to the basis $\{\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3\}$ the operator $*\iota(\omega)$ is represented by the matrix

$$*\iota(\omega) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \pm\frac{\sqrt{\lambda_3-\lambda_2}}{\sqrt{\lambda_3-\lambda_1}} & 0 \\ \mp\frac{\sqrt{\lambda_3-\lambda_2}}{\sqrt{\lambda_3-\lambda_1}} & 0 & -\frac{\sqrt{\lambda_2-\lambda_1}}{\sqrt{\lambda_3-\lambda_1}} \\ 0 & \frac{\sqrt{\lambda_2-\lambda_1}}{\sqrt{\lambda_3-\lambda_1}} & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Since S is represented by a diagonal matrix $\mathrm{diag}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)$ easy computations lead to equality $B(\omega) = \mu(\omega \odot \eta_2)$, where $\mu = \pm\sqrt{\lambda_3 - \lambda_2}\sqrt{\lambda_2 - \lambda_1}$.

(2) ⇒ (3) Since for any two 1–forms α, β we have $\mathrm{Tr}(\alpha \odot \beta) = 2\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle$ then

$$0 = \mathrm{Tr}B(\omega) = \mu\mathrm{Tr}(\omega \odot \eta_2) = 2\mu\langle \omega, \eta_2 \rangle.$$

Thus ω and η_2 are orthonormal. Let σ be a 1–form such that $\{\omega, \eta_2, \sigma\}$ is an oriented orthonormal basis. Then with respect to this basis $B(\omega)$ and $*\iota(\omega)$ are represented by matrices

$$B(\omega) = \mu \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad *\iota(\omega) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Since $A(\omega) = B(\omega) + (*\iota(\omega))B(\omega) + B(\omega)(*\iota(\omega))$, we have

$$A(\omega) = \mu \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

thus $A(\omega)^3 = 0$.

(3) ⇒ (1) Suppose φ is not conformal on $D = \ker\omega$. Then φ is not conformal on $D(x)$ at some point $x \in M$. Consider a set $L = \varphi_*^{-1}(\mathbb{S}^2) \cap D(x)$, where $\mathbb{S}^2 \subset T_{\varphi(x)}N$ is the unit sphere. Then L is an ellipse but not a circle. Let η and σ be as in Lemma 2.3. Then $\mathrm{Rot}(\omega)\eta = a_1\sigma$ and $\mathrm{Rot}(\omega)\sigma = a_2\eta$ where $a_1a_2 = -1$. Put $C = 1/|\eta|^2 - 1/|\sigma|^2$. Then by (4)

$$A(\omega)\eta = a_1C\sigma + e_1\omega, \quad A(\omega)\sigma = a_2C\eta + e_2\omega,$$

where

$$e_1 = \langle S\omega, a_1\eta - \sigma\rangle, \quad e_2 = \langle S\omega, -a_2\eta - \sigma\rangle.$$

We have $S\omega = \mathrm{Const}\cdot\omega + \omega_0$, where $\langle\omega,\omega_0\rangle = 0$. Put $\tau = \mathrm{Rot}(-\pi/4,\omega)\omega_0$. Then by Lemma 2.2 $\langle\omega,\tau\rangle = 0$. Hence, $\tau = b_\eta\eta + b_\sigma\sigma$ for some b_η, b_σ. Moreover, $\mathrm{Rot}(\omega)\tau = b_\eta a_1\sigma - b_\sigma a_2\eta$. Hence $b_\sigma e_1 + b_\eta e_2 = 0$. Therefore

$$A(\omega)\tau = C(b_\eta a_1\sigma + b_\sigma a_2\eta).$$

By Lemma 2.2 $A(\omega)\omega = \sqrt{2}\tau$. Hence by assumption $A(\omega)^3 = 0$ we have

$$0 = A(\omega)^3\omega = A(\omega)^2\tau = -C^2\tau + C(b_\eta a_1 e_2 + b_\sigma a_2 e_1)\omega.$$

Since S has distinct eigenvalues, then $\omega_0 \neq 0$ and $\tau \neq 0$. Thus by linear indepedance of τ and ω we have $C = 0$. Therefore $|\eta| = |\sigma|$. Since $\langle S\eta,\eta\rangle = \langle S\sigma,\sigma\rangle = 1$ it follows that L is a circle. Contradiction. □

Example 3.2. Let $U = \{x = (x_1,x_2,x_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : \cos(x_2+x_3) \neq 0\}$. Define a map $\varphi : U \to \mathbb{R}^3$ between Euclidean spaces in the following way

$$\varphi(x) = (-\cos x_2 + \sqrt{2}\sin x_3, \sin x_2 - \sqrt{2}\cos x_3, \sqrt{2}x_1 + x_2), \quad x = (x_1,x_2,x_3).$$

Then

$$S(x) = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & \sqrt{2} & 0 \\ \sqrt{2} & 2 & \sqrt{2}\sin(x_2+x_3) \\ 0 & \sqrt{2}\sin(x_2+x_3) & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

and $\det S(x) = 4\cos^2(x_2+x_3)$. Therefore φ is a diffeomorphism on U. Moreover the eigenvalues of S are

$$2 - \sqrt{2+2\sin^2(x_2+x_3)}, \quad 2, \quad 2 + \sqrt{2+2\sin^2(x_2+x_3)}.$$

Thus $S(x)$ has distinct eigenvalues for every $x \in U$. Put

$$\omega_+ = dx_2, \quad \omega_- = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{2+2\sin^2(x_2+x_3)}}dx_1 + \frac{\sqrt{2}\sin(x_2+x_3)}{\sqrt{2+2\sin^2(x_2+x_3)}}dx_3.$$

Then $A(\omega_+)$ equals to

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & -\sqrt{2}(\sin(x_2+x_3)-1) & 0 \\ -\sqrt{2}(\sin(x_2+x_3)+1) & 0 & -\sqrt{2}(\sin(x_2+x_3)-1) \\ 0 & \sqrt{2}(\sin(x_2+x_3)+1) & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

and $A(\omega_-)$ to

$$\begin{bmatrix} 4\frac{\sin(x_2+x_3)}{\sqrt{2+2\sin^2(x_2+x_3)}} & -\sqrt{2} & -2\frac{\cos^2(x_2+x_3)}{\sqrt{2+2\sin^2(x_2+x_3)}} \\ \sqrt{2} & 0 & \sqrt{2}\sin(x_2+x_3) \\ -2\frac{\cos^2(x_2+x_3)}{\sqrt{2+2\sin^2(x_2+x_3)}} & -\sqrt{2}\sin(x_2+x_3) & -4\frac{\sin(x_2+x_3)}{\sqrt{2+2\sin^2(x_2+x_3)}} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Therefore $A(\omega_+)^3 = A(\omega_-)^3 = 0$. Thus φ is conformal on distributions $D_+ = \ker\omega_+$ and $D_- = \ker\omega_-$.

4. INTEGRABILITY CONDITION IN TERMS OF AN ORTHONORMAL MOVING FRAME

Let (M,g) be a 3–dimensional oriented Riemannian manifold. Let e_1, e_2, e_3 be a local orthonormal basis on the open subset U of M and $\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3$ the dual basis of 1–forms. Let (N,h) be another 3–dimensional oriented Riemannian manifold and $\varphi : M \to N$ be a diffeomorphism. Let $D = \ker\omega$ be a two dimensional distribution on U, where ω is a unit 1–form on M. Suppose $S = (\varphi_*)^*\varphi_*$ has distinct eigenvalues. Let μ be a smooth nowhere vanishing function on U. Let λ be the middle eigenvalue of S and η_2 the unit eivenvector corresponding to λ. Let

$$\omega = \sum_i \alpha_i\omega_i, \quad \eta_2 = \sum_i \beta_i\omega_i, \quad S\omega_i = \sum_j a_{ij}\omega_j.$$

Then the operators $\omega \odot \eta_2$ and $*\iota(\omega)$, defined in the first section, are represented by matrices

$$\omega \odot \eta_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 2\alpha_1\beta_1 & \alpha_1\beta_2 + \alpha_2\beta_1 & \alpha_1\beta_3 + \alpha_3\beta_1 \\ \alpha_1\beta_2 + \alpha_2\beta_1 & 2\alpha_2\beta_2 & \alpha_2\beta_3 + \alpha_3\beta_2 \\ \alpha_1\beta_3 + \alpha_3\beta_1 & \alpha_2\beta_3 + \alpha_3\beta_2 & 2\alpha_3\beta_3 \end{bmatrix}, \tag{6}$$

$$*\iota(\omega) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -\alpha_3 & \alpha_2 \\ \alpha_3 & 0 & -\alpha_1 \\ -\alpha_2 & \alpha_1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}. \tag{7}$$

Put

$$\begin{aligned} U_1 &= \{x \in U : (a_{23}(x) - \mu(x)\beta_1(x))^2 + (a_{13}(x) + \mu(x)\beta_2(x))^2 > 0\}, \\ U_2 &= \{x \in U : (a_{23}(x) + \mu(x)\beta_1(x))^2 + (a_{12}(x) - \mu(x)\beta_3(x))^2 > 0\}, \\ U_3 &= \{x \in U : (a_{12}(x) + \mu(x)\beta_3(x))^2 + (a_{13}(x) - \mu(x)\beta_2(x))^2 > 0\}. \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

Then $U_1 \cup U_2 \cup U_3 = U$.

For two 1–forms σ and τ we write $\sigma \equiv \tau$ if there is nowhere vanishing smooth function f such that $\sigma = f\tau$. We have

Lemma 4.1. *If a diffeomorphism φ is conformal on a distribution D, then*

$$\begin{aligned} \omega &\equiv (a_{13} + \mu\beta_2)\omega_1 + (a_{23} - \mu\beta_1)\omega_2 + (a_{33} - \lambda)\omega_3 \quad \textit{on } U_1, \\ \omega &\equiv (a_{12} - \mu\beta_3)\omega_1 + (a_{22} - \lambda)\omega_2 + (a_{23} + \mu\beta_1)\omega_3 \quad \textit{on } U_2, \\ \omega &\equiv (a_{11} - \lambda)\omega_1 + (a_{12} + \mu\beta_3)\omega_2 + (a_{13} - \mu\beta_2)\omega_3 \quad \textit{on } U_3. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Proof is elementary but requires a lot of calculations. Details are left to the reader.

By Theorem 3.1 φ is conformal on D if and only if

$$B(\omega) = \mu(\omega \odot \eta_2). \tag{9}$$

Moreover,

$$S\eta_2 = \lambda\eta_2. \tag{10}$$

Thus, using (6) and (7), we get

$$\begin{aligned}(a_{23} - \mu\beta_1)\alpha_1 &= (a_{13} + \mu\beta_2)\alpha_2,\\ (a_{23} + \mu\beta_1)\alpha_1 &= (a_{12} - \mu\beta_3)\alpha_3,\\ (a_{12} + \mu\beta_3)\alpha_3 &= (a_{13} - \mu\beta_2)\alpha_2.\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\alpha_1 = C(a_{13} + \mu\beta_2), \qquad \alpha_2 = C(a_{23} - \mu\beta_1) \qquad \text{on } U_1, \tag{11}$$

$$\alpha_1 = C'(a_{12} - \mu\beta_3), \qquad \alpha_3 = C'(a_{23} + \mu\beta_1) \qquad \text{on } U_2, \tag{12}$$

$$\alpha_2 = C''(a_{12} + \mu\beta_3), \qquad \alpha_3 = C''(a_{13} - \mu\beta_2) \qquad \text{on } U_3, \tag{13}$$

for some C, C' and C''. By (9) one can see that C, C' and C'' are nowhere vanishing. Consider condition (11). Since $\langle \omega, \eta_2 \rangle = 0$,

$$Ca_{13}\beta_1 + Ca_{23}\beta_2 + \alpha_3\beta_3 = 0$$

Moreover, by (10)

$$Ca_{13}\beta_1 + Ca_{23}\beta_2 + C(a_{33} - \lambda)\beta_3 = 0.$$

Hence

$$\beta_3 = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad \alpha_3 = C(a_{33} - \lambda).$$

Assuming $\beta_3 = 0$ and $\alpha_3 \neq C(a_{33} - \lambda)$ and using (9) and (10), after some calculations we get a contradiction. Finally

$$\alpha_1 = C(a_{13} + \mu\beta_2), \quad \alpha_2 = C(a_{23} - \mu\beta_1), \quad \alpha_3 = C(a_{33} - \lambda) \quad \text{on } U_1.$$

Analogously we consider conditions (12) and (13). □

Let

$$da_{ij} = \sum_k a_{ij}^k \omega_k, \quad d\mu = \sum_k \mu_k \omega_k, \quad d\beta_i = \sum_k \beta_{ik}\omega_k, \quad d\lambda = \sum_k \gamma_k \omega_k$$

Let $[e_j, e_k] = \sum_i C_{jk}^i e_i$. Then

$$d\omega_i = -\sum_{j<k} C_{jk}^i \omega_j \wedge \omega_k.$$

Therefore by Lemma 4.1 integrability condition $d\omega\wedge\omega=0$ is on U_1, U_2 and U_3 respectively

$$\begin{aligned}
0=\Big(&-a^2_{13}+a^1_{23}-\beta_1\mu_1-\beta_2\mu_2-\mu\beta_{11}-\mu\beta_{22}\\
&-(a_{13}+\mu\beta_2)C^1_{12}-(a_{23}-\mu\beta_1)C^2_{12}-(a_{33}-\lambda)C^3_{12}\Big)(a_{33}-\lambda)\\
&-\Big(-a^3_{13}+a^1_{33}-\beta_2\mu_3-\mu\beta_{23}-\gamma_1\\
&-(a_{13}+\mu\beta_2)C^1_{13}-(a_{23}-\mu\beta_1)C^2_{13}-(a_{33}-\lambda)C^3_{13}\Big)(a_{23}-\mu\beta_1)\\
&+\Big(-a^3_{23}+a^2_{33}+\beta_1\mu_3+\mu\beta_{13}-\gamma_2\\
&-(a_{13}+\mu\beta_2)C^1_{23}-(a_{23}-\mu\beta_1)C^2_{23}-(a_{33}-\lambda)C^3_{23}\Big)(a_{13}+\mu\beta_2),
\end{aligned}\tag{14}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
0=\Big(&-a^2_{12}+a^1_{22}+\beta_3\mu_2+\mu\beta_{32}-\gamma_1\\
&-(a_{12}-\mu\beta_3)C^1_{12}-(a_{22}-\lambda)C^2_{12}-(a_{23}+\mu\beta_1)C^3_{12}\Big)(a_{23}+\mu\beta_1)\\
&-\Big(-a^3_{12}+a^1_{23}+\beta_3\mu_3+\beta_1\mu_1+\mu\beta_{33}+\mu\beta_{11}\\
&-(a_{12}-\mu\beta_3)C^1_{13}-(a_{22}-\lambda)C^2_{13}-(a_{23}+\mu\beta_1)C^3_{13}\Big)(a_{22}-\lambda)\\
&+\Big(-a^3_{22}+a^2_{23}+\beta_1\mu_2+\mu\beta_{12}+\gamma_3\\
&-(a_{12}-\mu\beta_3)C^1_{23}-(a_{22}-\lambda)C^2_{23}-(a_{23}+\mu\beta_1)C^3_{23}\Big)(a_{12}-\mu\beta_1),
\end{aligned}\tag{15}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
0=\Big(&-a^2_{11}+a^1_{12}+\beta_3\mu_1+\mu\beta_{31}+\gamma_2\\
&-(a_{11}-\lambda)C^1_{12}-(a_{12}+\mu\beta_3)C^2_{12}-(a_{13}-\mu\beta_2)C^3_{12}\Big)(a_{13}-\mu\beta_2)\\
&-\Big(-a^3_{11}+a^1_{13}-\beta_2\mu_1-\mu\beta_{21}+\gamma_3\\
&-(a_{11}-\lambda)C^1_{13}-(a_{12}+\mu\beta_3)C^2_{13}-(a_{13}-\mu\beta_2)C^3_{13}\Big)(a_{12}+\mu\beta_3)\\
&+\Big(-a^3_{12}+a^2_{13}-\beta_2\mu_2-\beta_3\mu_3-\mu\beta_{22}-\mu\beta_{33}\\
&-(a_{11}-\lambda)C^1_{12}-(a_{23}+\mu\beta_3)C^2_{23}-(a_{13}-\mu\beta_2)C^3_{23}\Big)(a_{11}-\lambda).
\end{aligned}\tag{16}$$

Thus above considerations and Theorem 3.1 imply

Theorem 4.2. *Let $\varphi : M \to N$ be a diffeomorphism between 3–dimensional oriented Riemannian manifolds. Suppose $S = (\varphi_*)^*\varphi_*$ has distinct eigenvalues $\lambda_1 < \lambda_2 < \lambda_3$. Let ξ_2 be the unit eigenvector corresponding to λ_2 and let η_2 be a 1–form dual to ξ_2. If φ is leafwise conformal on an open subset U of M then conditions* (14)–(16) *hold, where U_1, U_2, U_3 are defined by* (8) *and μ is given by* (5) *with the sign + or − instead of ±.*

5. Some necessary and sufficient conditions of integrability

Let $\varphi : M \to N$ be a diffeomorphism between Riemannian manifolds. Let $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3$ be the eigenvalues of the operator $S = (\varphi_*)^*\varphi_* : TM \to TM$ and ξ_1, ξ_2, ξ_3 be the corresponding unit eigenvectors. Assume $\lambda_1 < \lambda_2 < \lambda_3$. Let η_1, η_2, η_3 be the basis dual to ξ_1, ξ_2, ξ_3. Assume ξ_i and η_i are globally smooth. Consider 1–forms $\omega_\pm$ given by (1) and put $D_\pm = \ker\omega_\pm$. Then by Lemma 2.1 φ is conformal on the distributions $D_\pm$. We study the integrability condition $\omega_\pm \wedge d\omega_\pm = 0$. After simple calculations we get

$$\eta_1 \wedge d\eta_1 + \chi^2\eta_3 \wedge d\eta_3 = \pm d(\chi\eta_1 \wedge \eta_3), \tag{17}$$

where

$$\chi = \frac{\sqrt{\lambda_3 - \lambda_2}}{\sqrt{\lambda_2 - \lambda_1}}.$$

Therefore we have

Proposition 5.1. *If $D_\pm$ are both integrable, then $\eta_1 \wedge d\eta_1 + \chi^2\eta_3 \wedge d\eta_3 = 0$ and 2–form $\chi\eta_1 \wedge \eta_3$ is closed.*

Write η_1 and η_3 in terms of $\omega_\pm$,

$$\eta_1 = \frac{1}{2}\frac{\sqrt{\lambda_3 - \lambda_1}}{\sqrt{\lambda_2 - \lambda_1}}(\omega_+ + \omega_-), \qquad \eta_3 = \frac{1}{2}\frac{\sqrt{\lambda_3 - \lambda_1}}{\sqrt{\lambda_3 - \lambda_2}}(\omega_+ - \omega_-).$$

Then

$$\chi\eta_1 \wedge \eta_3 = -\frac{1}{2}(1 + \chi^2)\omega_+ \wedge \omega_-, \tag{18}$$

Proposition 5.2. *Assume M is orientable and closed. Suppose η_i, χ, $D_\pm$ are smooth and globally defined on M. If both $D_\pm$ are integrable then*

$$\int_M \xi_2(\log\chi)\mathrm{vol} = 0,$$

where vol *is the volume element on M.*

Proof. By Proposition 5.1, $d(\chi\eta_1 \wedge \eta_3) = 0$. Hence

$$\frac{1}{\chi}(\xi_2\chi)\eta_1 \wedge \eta_2 \wedge \eta_3 = d(\eta_1 \wedge \eta_3)$$

□

Example 5.3. Consider a diffeomorphism $\varphi:\mathbb{R}^3\to\mathbb{R}^3$ such that S is diagonal in the canonical basis e_1,e_2,e_3, $S=\operatorname{diag}(\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\lambda_3)$. Assume $\lambda_1<\lambda_2<\lambda_3$. Then $\eta_i=dx_i$, $i=1,2,3$. Therefore, the integrability condition reduces to

$$\frac{\partial\chi}{\partial x_2}=0,$$

which we can write in the form

$$(\lambda_2-\lambda_1)(\frac{\partial\lambda_3}{\partial x_2}-\frac{\partial\lambda_2}{\partial x_2})=(\lambda_3-\lambda_2)(\frac{\partial\lambda_2}{\partial x_2}-\frac{\partial\lambda_1}{\partial x_2}).$$

Since $\lambda_i=\sum_j(\partial\phi_j/\partial x_i)^2$ we obtain

$$\sum_j((\frac{\partial\phi_j}{\partial x_2})^2-(\frac{\partial\phi_j}{\partial x_1})^2)\sum_k(\frac{\partial\phi_j}{\partial x_3}\frac{\partial^2\phi_j}{\partial x_3\partial x_2}-\frac{\partial\phi_j}{\partial x_2}\frac{\partial^2\phi_j}{\partial x_2^2})=$$

$$\sum_k(\frac{\partial\phi_j}{\partial x_2}\frac{\partial^2\phi_j}{\partial x_2^2}-\frac{\partial\phi_j}{\partial x_3}\frac{\partial^2\phi_j}{\partial x_1\partial x_2})\sum_j((\frac{\partial\phi_j}{\partial x_3})^2-(\frac{\partial\phi_j}{\partial x_1})^2).$$

Example 5.4. Consider a local diffeomorphism from Example 3.2. Then $\chi=1$ and by (18) we have

$$\chi\eta_1\wedge\eta_3=\frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{2+2\sin^2(x_2+x_3)}}dx_1\wedge dx_2-\frac{\sqrt{2}\sin(x_2+x_3)}{\sqrt{2+2\sin^2(x_2+x_3)}}dx_2\wedge dx_3.$$

Thus the above form is not closed. By Proposition 5.1 one of the distributions $D_\pm$ is not integrable. Since D_+ is obviously integrable, we get that D_- is not integrable.

6. Local leafwise holomorphicity

A coordinate system ψ on an n–dimensional Riemannian manifold (M,g) is called *foliated conformal chart* if the map $z\mapsto\psi^{-1}(z,q)$, $z\in\mathbb{R}^2$, $q\in\mathbb{R}^{n-2}$, is conformal for all q.

The aim of this section is to prove the following result.

Theorem 6.1. *A map $\varphi:M\to N$ between Riemannian manifolds is locally leafwise conformal if for every $x\in M$ there are foliated conformal charts ψ and $\tilde\psi$ in neighbourhoods of x and $\varphi(x)$ respectively, such that*

$$\tilde\psi\circ\varphi\circ\psi^{-1}(z,q)=(h(z,q),q),$$

where for every $q\in\mathbb{R}^{n-2}$ the map $\mathbb{R}^2\ni z\mapsto h(z,q)\in\mathbb{R}^2$ is holomorphic.

Let us first review some facts about the Beltrami equation and isothermal coordinates.

Assume all considered functions are smooth. By the *Beltrami equation* we mean the equation

$$\frac{\partial w}{\partial\bar z}=\mu\frac{\partial w}{\partial z},$$

where $\mu, w : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$. If $|\mu| < k < 1$ for some k, then the Beltrami equation has a unique smooth solution w^{μ} which leaves 0, 1 and ∞ fixed. Moreover w^{μ} has positive Jacobian, see [2]. We have also smooth dependence of solutions of the Beltrami equation [4].

Theorem 6.2 (Riemann's mapping theorem for variable metric)**.** *For each positive $k < 1$ the map $\mu \mapsto w^{\mu}$ is a homeomorphism of the set $\{\mu \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C}) : \sup |\mu| < k\}$ onto its image in $C^{\infty}(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C})$. In particular, the map*

$$w : \mathbb{C} \times T \ni (z, t) \mapsto (w^{\mu_t}(z), t) \in \mathbb{C} \times T$$

is a diffeomorphism, where T is open subset of $\mathbb{R}^q$, $\mu_t(z) = \mu(z, t)$.

In Theorem 6.2, $C^{\infty}(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C})$ is a Frechet space of all smooth functions $f : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ with C^{∞} topology.

Consider $\mathbb{R}^2 = \mathbb{C}$ with a Riemannian metric $g = Edx^2 + 2Fdxdy + Gdy^2$, where $E > 0$, $EG - F^2 > 0$. A coordinate system $w = (u, v)$ is called *isothermal* if there is a positive function λ such that

$$g = \lambda(du^2 + dv^2).$$

Put

$$\mu = \frac{E - G + 2iF}{E + G + 2\sqrt{EG - F^2}}. \tag{19}$$

Take a closed ball $K \subset \mathbb{C}$. Since $|\mu| < 1$, $\sup_K |\mu| < k_0 < 1$ for some k_0. Extend μ smoothly to the whole plane in such a way that $\sup |\mu| < k < 1$ for some k. Then $w = w^{\mu}|\mathrm{int}K$ is an isotermal coordinate system for g, see [7]. For a foliation by planes $L_t = \mathbb{C} \times \{t\}, t \in T$, with a Riemannian metric g, on each leaf L_t we have $g|_{L_t \times L_t} = E_t dx^2 + 2F_t dxdy + G_t dy^2$. Therefore, in the same way as before, by Theorem 6.2 for μ_t defined by (19), there is a coordinate system $w_t(z) = w(z, t)$ such that $w_t : L_t \to L_t$ is isothermal for every t. We say that w is a *foliated isothermal coordinate system.*

Proof of Theorem 6.1. Let $\varphi : M \to N$ be an $\mathcal{F}$–conformal diffeomorphism, (M, g_M) and (N, g_N) Riemannian manifolds, $\mathcal{F}$ a 2–dimensional foliation on M. Fix $x \in M$ and put $y = \varphi(x)$. Let χ be a foliated map in a neighbourhood of x and let $\rho = \chi \circ \varphi^{-1}$. Then $\rho \circ \varphi \circ \chi^{-1}$ is the identity map on the foliation

$$\mathcal{F}_0 = \chi(\mathcal{F}) = \{U \times \{t\}\}_{t \in T},$$

where U is an open subset of $\mathbb{C}$ and T open subset of $\mathbb{R}^{\mathrm{codim}\mathcal{F}}$. Obviously, $\mathrm{id} = \rho \circ \varphi \circ \chi^{-1}$ is a $\mathcal{F}_0$–conformal map with respect to Riemannian metrics $(\chi^{-1})^* g_M$ and $(\rho^{-1})^* g_N$. Let w_M and w_N be foliated isothermal coordinate systems on U, shrinking U if necessary, for $(\chi^{-1})^* g_M$ and $(\rho^{-1})^* g_N$ respectively. Then

$$h = w_N \circ \rho \circ \varphi \circ \chi^{-1} \circ w_M^{-1} = w_N \circ w_M^{-1}$$

is a $\mathcal{F}_0$–conformal diffeomorphism with respect to Riemannain metrics $(\chi^{-1} \circ w_M^{-1})^* g_M$ and $(\rho^{-1} \circ w_N^{-1})^* g_N$, which on leaves of $\mathcal{F}_0$ are conformal with Euclidean metric. Thus h is $\mathcal{F}_0$–conformal with respect to Euclidean metric. Therefore, maps $h : L_t \to L_t$, $L_t = U \times \{t\}$, $t \in T$, are all holomorphic or all antiholomorphic. If $h : L_t \to L_t$, $t \in T$, are antiholomorphic, we replace h by

$$\tilde{h} = \tau \circ h,$$

where $\tau(z,t) = (\bar{z}, t)$. Then $\psi = w_M \circ \chi$ and $\tilde{\psi} = w_N \circ \rho$ are desired. □

Acknowledgement. This article is based on a part of author's PhD Thesis [6]. The author wishes to thank his advisor Professor Antoni Pierzchalski for helpful discussions.

References

[1] R. C. Alperin, The Matrix of a Rotation, The College Mathematical Journal, Vol 20, no. 3, May 1989.

[2] L. Bers, F. John, M. Schechter, Partial differential equations, Interscience, New York, 1964.

[3] K. Borsuk, Higher-dimensional analytic geometry, PWN, Warszawa 1964, 463 pp. (in Polish)

[4] C. J. Earle, A. Schatz, Teichmuller theory for surfaces with boundary, J. Diff. Geom. 4 (1970) 169-185.

[5] K. Niedziałomski, Diffeomorphisms conformal on distributions, Ann. Polon. Math., to appear

[6] K. Niedziałomski, Leafwise conformal diffeomorphisms, PhD Thesis, University of Łódź, 2008 (in Polish)

[7] M. Spivak, A comprehensive introduction to differential geometry. Vol. IV. 2nd ed., Berkeley: Publish or Perish, Inc. VII (1979)

[8] S. Tanno, Partially conformal transformations with respect to $(m-1)$–dimensional distributions of m–dimensional Riemannian manifolds, Tohoku Math. J. (2) 17 (1965), 358–409.

[9] S. Tanno, Partially conformal transformations with respect to $(m-1)$–dimensional distributions of m–dimensional Riemannian manifolds. II, Tohoku Math. J. (2) 18 (1966), 378–392.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE
UNIVERSITY OF ŁÓDŹ
UL. BANACHA 22, 90-238 ŁÓDŹ
POLAND
E-mail address: `kamiln@math.uni.lodz.pl`